

FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONNAIRE AFTER DG MEETING (23.10.23)

According to the request from Sweden, included in the “*EUPAN matters*” point of the Agenda of the 4 & 5th October Working Level meeting in Madrid, during the Spanish Presidency, and after debate at the plenary session of such meeting, specially regarding the inclusion of the word “mandatory” in a potential EUPAN handbook amendment, it was decided that there was a common agreement about the need to reform and “institutionalize” ex-post evaluations from presidencies, while preserving delegations’ autonomy to respond to questionnaires or other tools.

As indicated in the Swedish proposal, in the current version of the EUPAN handbook (page 14), under the title “*The role of the presidency*” it is indicated that:

“ Each EUPAN Presidency is assigned with the following tasks: (...) • To evaluate each meeting or event in order to continuously learn and improve.”

There is no mention to the fact of sharing results and conclusions of the survey with the network, but it is desirable as debated during the meeting, being the main purpose of the follow-up questionnaire to strengthen EUPAN as a learning organization. It would provide the network with valuable input useful first and foremost for coming presidencies in order to further increase meeting agenda relevance and, for instance, improve the setup for workshops.

It is however essential that focus should remain on the substance of meetings and to some degree arrangements but leave out ratings on social events.

**EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE AFTER DG MEETING IN MADRID,
27 & 28th NOVEMBER IN IFEMA / MADRID**

PRESENTATIONS:

1. Did you find them relevant for your daily work?

Not relevant at all / Somewhat relevant / Very relevant

2. Which one was the most interesting for you?

3. Optional: reasons for considering the presentations to be irrelevant/relevant.

WORKSHOPS:

4. Did you find them relevant for your daily work?

Not relevant at all / Somewhat relevant / Very relevant

5. Which one was the most interesting for you?

6. Optional: reasons for considering the workshops to be irrelevant/relevant

ORGANIZATION OF THE EVENT:

- Themes covered: Very good / Good / Needs improvement
- Venue: Very good / Good / Needs improvement
- Attention before the event: Very good / Good / Needs improvement

OTHER COMMENTS FOR THE ORGANIZERS:

Muchas gracias / Thank you very much